

Everything waiting on you, in one place

14:00 UTC · conductor@book.the-ultimate-insider, key sha256:f2456a147ac54f5f

Eighteen choices, grouped by what they unblock, each with its options, the conductor's recommendation and a box to tick. Nothing here is decided until you sign it: tick on paper, or say it in the session, and the conductor records it in book.json. Then, last, what is worth reading and in what order.

3

open decisions in book.json
(X2, R1, R2)

5

questions in the
storyteller's plan draft 6

10

more proposals from
today's studies and
projects

7

things to read, in order

For you to decide

1. The book's shape: plan draft 6 (the storyteller; draft 5 stays signed until you sign this)

D6-1

Where the map-reading chapter (00b) goes

RC1 puts 3,000 words on maps before the 18:44 hold that opens the book.

☐ A. After chapter 1

☐ B. Before chapter 1, as in RC1

☐ C. Inside chapter 0

Conductor's recommendation: A, with the storyteller: the book opens on the hold, as you signed (O2 A).

D6-2

Where 'what was already there' (11b) goes

☐ A. Split: the years before 'Midnight', the plumbing after 'The hourly machine'

☐ B. One chapter after chapter 11, as in RC1

☐ C. One chapter before 'Midnight'

Conductor's recommendation: A: each part arrives when the reader needs it.

D6-3

Where the principles go

☐ A. A chapter before 'Build your own', the concepts as a glossary at the back

☐ B. After 'Build your own', as in RC1

Conductor's recommendation: A: the book ends on 'The brakes are what let you go faster'.

D6-4

Chapter 0

☐ A. A short 'How to read this book' in the front matter

☐ B. As in RC1

Conductor's recommendation: A.

D6-5 **The length**

Signed: 49,200 words. RC1 is about 69,300, of which 17,200 are the parts you added this morning.

- ☐ A. About 59,000: the new parts kept, paid for in part by cuts
- ☐ B. About 70,000: RC1's shape kept
- ☐ C. Hold 49,200: the new parts compressed

Conductor's recommendation: A.

2. Vaults and keys (they unblock the PDF lab and the reviewer experience)

X2 **Vaults for ruv.sgit's runs?**

- ☐ A. Runs recorded in git, no vault
- ☐ B. Lift the rule for ruv.sgit only, each vault handed over sealed
- ☐ C. Lift it for the team

Conductor's recommendation: A for now: experiment 01 worked in git; revisit with R1.

R1 **A vault per reviewer, for their pen lane?**

- ☐ A. Lift the rule for reviewers' vaults only
- ☐ B. Start without vaults (comments encrypted to your key, sent with SG/Send); decide after the simulation
- ☐ C. Keep the rule

Conductor's recommendation: B: simulate first, then A for the friendly reviewer, so the vault is tried on someone who will forgive a rough edge.

R2 **Onboard every reviewer with their own PKI key?**

- ☐ A. Required: the key is the first step, every comment signed
- ☐ B. Offered, not required
- ☐ C. Later, after the first wave

Conductor's recommendation: B: a time-poor reviewer should never be blocked; the ones who make a key are the showcase.

start **Start developer@ and synthetic@ (the reviewer experience)**

Prompts in team/conductor/ONBOARDING.md, section 1d; odd hours, :12 and :42.

- ☐ Start both now
- ☐ The developer first
- ☐ Not yet

Conductor's recommendation: Start both: the simulation needs no decision above to begin.

personas **The eight fictional personas**

Board member, CISO, CTO, developer, designer, project manager, journalist, founder.

- ☐ Keep
- ☐ Change (note below)

Conductor's recommendation: Keep.

3. Reaching out (nothing is sent without your go)

pub **Which publishers first**

The publishers study ranks twelve.

- ☐ The Pragmatic Bookshelf, No Starch Press and IT Revolution, at once
- ☐ A different first three (note below)
- ☐ Not yet: after the Leanpub launch

Conductor's recommendation: The first option, the proposal built once and trimmed for each; IT Revolution through the public work, not its form.

licence **The licence a publisher would get**

- ☐ Keep CC BY for every edition
- ☐ CC BY now; a non-commercial licence offered for the publisher's edition
- ☐ Decide with the first publisher

Conductor's recommendation: Decide with the first publisher, saying CC BY up front.

reviewers **The first reviewers**

The reviewers study (kept off the site) proposes reader types and a first wave; some names need checking by hand.

- ☐ Approve phase 2: one friendly reviewer of your choosing
- ☐ Approve the first wave after the names are checked
- ☐ Not yet

Conductor's recommendation: The friendly reviewer first, once the simulation has run.

contact **How a publisher reaches you (the /publishers/ page)**

- ☐ Keep the repository's issues
- ☐ A public address of yours (say which)

Conductor's recommendation: A public address you already use; issues are public and a publisher may not want that.

interviews **The exit interviews with the talk team's agents**

- ☐ (a) You paste a prompt into their own sessions on the first account
- ☐ (b) Sub-agents here, in each agent's voice from its record, labelled reconstructed
- ☐ Both: (b) for most, (a) for two or three

Conductor's recommendation: Both, (a) for the conductor, dev and ruv.sgit, whose memory matters most.

4. Leanpub, and the record

leanpub **The five Leanpub proposals**

A subtitle with the search words; categories (AI, Computer Security, AI Governance, Agentic Engineering); suggested price \$19.99 or \$29; Leanpub Pro for GitHub mode (about \$19 a month); paid promotion only after a first signal.

- ☐ Agree all five
- ☐ Agree some (mark them)
- ☐ Discuss

Conductor's recommendation: Agree all five, keeping \$19.99: it matches the accessibility you set in L1.

D12 **The companion PDF's leak passage**

The book no longer says it; the companion's cards still do, in the repository.

- ☐ Leave it
- ☐ Remove the passage from the cards and rebuild the companion
- ☐ Remove the companion from the repository

Conductor's recommendation: Remove the passage and rebuild; the history keeps what was.

aa7a3eb **The citation of aa7a3eb in chapters 4 and 6 (security's card)**

- ☐ Keep it
- ☐ Drop it, cite the register instead

Conductor's recommendation: Drop it, with security.

What to read, in this order

- 1. RC1 on paper (you have it), with the companion's tick boxes: the main input for the next revision.
- 2. Plan draft 6, chapter-plan/draft-6-proposed.md: one page of changes and the new order (group 1 above).
- 3. The reviewer experience, team/conductor/projects/reviewer-experience/README.md: the first ten minutes (group 2).
- 4. LAB 01, lab/pdf/the-ultimate-insider-lab.pdf: the designer's visual language and ruv.sgit's first experiment.
- 5. The publishers study (/research/publishers/) and the /publishers/ page's wording (group 3).
- 6. The Leanpub studies' index (/research/leanpub/) (group 4).
- 7. Briefs 1 and 2 on /updates/: the day so far and your asks replayed.

How to answer

Tick on paper and send a photo, or say it in the conductor's session ("D6 all A", "R1 B"). The conductor records each one in book.json with your words, and the next brief shows what it unblocked.

Next

- 16:02: your signatures into book.json, and each member told what its decision unblocks
- The publisher's handover recorded; the talk-site study and the exit interviews started

Where this came from

book.json · chapter-plan/draft-6-proposed.md · team/security/reviews/rc1-review.md · team/conductor/research/ · team/conductor/projects/ · briefs 1 and 2