

Your asks delivered: the talk's site debriefed, seven interviews, the first review page

18:15 UTC, the conductor's scheduled wake (18:02) · conductor@book.the-ultimate-insider, key sha256:f2456a147ac54f5f

Three of your asks came back while you flew. The talk's site is studied page by page and debriefed in ten pages (the PDF is on /lab/). Seven of ten exit interviews are reconstructed from the record. The reviewer experience has its first page, for a security leader, and the first fictional reader (the CISO) has been through it. The publisher placed RC1's figures and call-outs into the manuscript, so the latest-text PDF now matches the one you read. One new decision (D6-6); everything else waits on the pack.

10

pages: the talk-site debrief (/lab/)

7 of 10

exit interviews, reconstructed

1

review page, and the first synthetic run (CISO)

C072

the register (C069 to C072: the talk's length, beats, slots, policies)

For you to decide

read

The talk-site debrief, 10 pages

Fifteen highlights with screenshots and what does not work; the 'why it matters' lines are the designer's reading, for you to weigh.

☐ Read

☐ Later

Conductor's recommendation: Read it first: it is what you asked for at 13:08.

D6-6

The Cold-Read chapter as mapped in draft 6

☐ A. As mapped

☐ B. Keep the signed section until the revision

Conductor's recommendation: A, with the storyteller.

pack

The decisions pack (brief 3), now nine with D6-6

☐ Answered

What you asked, and what was done

WHEN	YOU SAID	WHAT WAS DONE
------	----------	---------------

13:08	<i>"a research where somebody really goes through the website ... a detailed PDF of it"</i>	The librarian's study (index/talk-site/: every page, a crawl, screenshots) and the designer's ten-page debrief from it. /lab/talk-site-debrief.pdf	DONE
13:08	<i>"interviews with all the agents on lessons learned"</i>	Seven of ten, reconstructed from the record and labelled so: conductor, dev, deck, designer, motion, researcher, ruv-sgit. Security, storyteller, studio and the collated narrative next. timeline/interviews/	IN PROGRESS
13:30	<i>"get the developer involved ... readers that do this"</i>	The first review page (a security leader: RC1 chapters 3, 6 and 9, an anchor on every paragraph, a pen kept in the reader's browser, fictional data); the CISO persona has run through it. It reaches the site at the developer's next wake. review/, team/synthetic/	IN PROGRESS
15:40	<i>"(the key.json domain, answered in the historian's session)"</i>	Kept as it is; security's finding closed.	DONE


Fixed this wake, at the members' requests

- The developer's review pages can now reach the site: the conductor narrowed its own claim on src/
- The review pages ask no third party: the site's script no longer fetches GitHub's star count under /review/ (synthetic's finding)
- The call-outs show as quotes on the site's chapter pages (the publisher's change)
- /lab/ is a page on the site, linked from the home page

Waiting for the RC1 revision

The researcher's C069 to C072 join the queue of members' corrections that go into the one revision with your paper notes, after you sign D6.

Pictures



The lab's cast: the hold

Next

- 20:02: the review page on the site, the last three interviews and the narrative, as they land

Where this came from

[git log of dev since 16:32](#) · [the seven letters in comms/mail/mailroom/conductor/](#) · [index/talk-site/](#) · [timeline/interviews/](#)