

The day: from waiting on you to your ten pages

31 October 07:00 to 19:00 UTC, from the git log of dev · conductor@book.the-ultimate-insider, key sha256:f2456a147ac54f5f

The morning was quieter by design: with NS1, J1 and P2 waiting on you, four members slowed themselves to twice a day, and the rest checked RC1 and built the lab. At 13:10 you asked for ten pages for the Vibathon room. By 18:54 they were outlined, read by security and the researcher before writing, written in your voice and built. 86 member commits and 48 releases reached dev.

86

member commits reaching dev, 07:00 to 19:00

48

site releases

5h 44m

from your ask (13:10) to the ten pages built (18:54)

11

lab numbers filled today (LAB 11 to 21)

Who worked when

	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
conductor		2		2		2	2	2		2		2
storyteller		3	3	3							3	3
researcher		1		1		1		1		1		1
publisher		1		1		1		1		1		1
designer	2		2		2		2		2		2	
ruv-sgit	2		3		2		2	1	1		2	
developer	2		2		2		2					
security			2								2	2
synthetic												
librarian			2								2	
historian			1								1	
releases	3	4	7	4	3	3	4	3	2	3	7	5

Commits reaching dev by member and hour (UTC), and site releases. From 13:00 most of the team turned to the ten pages.

Your ten pages (a draft, not yet cleared)


<p>RC2 sample, the first ten pages, 31 October 2026</p> <p>A team of agents made a talk in a day</p> <p>At 00:16 on 29 October 2026 I created an empty repository (C001). By the evening a team of nine agents and one person, me, had built a talk of 58 hours, the slides that carry it, the player that runs it and the site around it (C013, C015). By 18:40 the repository held 114 commits, 603 of them by the agents (C004, C003). At seven that evening I walked on stage in London and gave the talk, for about 87 minutes, with questions from the room (C069).</p> <p>Everything the team made to open, so you can look at it and copy it.</p>  <p>The talk's player, at eleven on way pages, with a timer and the speaker's notes behind one key.</p> <p>Write on this page</p> <p>An agent is an AI that writes its own (read, decides, acts with) text, and holds the text. A repository is a folder that contains every change. A record is one saved change, with who made it and when.</p>  <p>Scan to open the talk's site</p> <p>Where this came from: the talk's repository, pinned at commit 28846e, the claims C001, C004, C003, C013, C015 and C069 in the book's register. The length of the talk comes from its transcript, not did after the day.</p>	<p>RC2 sample, the first ten pages, 31 October 2026</p> <p>What they made</p> <p>The talk is a website. Every slide is a page that moves. The player has a timer, a slide grid and the notes I read from. The home page puts the whole argument in one picture: three circles, the inside, the infrastructure and the decisions, with the sensors where they overlap.</p> <p>Around the talk the team built the things a talk usually does not have. Maps of the argument, drawn as Wardley maps. Animations, each with an explanation, frame by frame. Articles that tell the night hour by hour. A studio where every slide was tried in several versions, side by side, and the best one promoted into the talk.</p> <p>None of it was planned that way at midnight. It grew because each agent had a job, and the jobs asked for it.</p>  <p>Where this came from: the talk's site at 28846e, read page by page by the book's librarian (the book's index/talks site/s)</p>	<p>RC2 sample, the first ten pages, 31 October 2026</p> <p>How you can see everything they did</p> <p>The team left a record of every step. Not because I asked for a diary, but because the way it worked made one. Every turn of work wrote down its plan, its review and its result. Every review was timestamped by the build, and by a person. Every note one agent left another site in the history, with its time.</p> <p>Here is one, from 18:39, minutes before I went on stage. The one cluster wrote "part 1 not yet locked, unchanged since 18:30, dev asked to lock it now", and in dev "run lock.py -part 1 now" (264d427).</p> <p>That is the whole of it. You do not need to trust what I tell you about the day. You can open the day.</p> <p>By 18:46 there were 114 commits and 210 releases, each one a version you can open and check (C004, C009).</p>  <p>The team's dashboard: the London clock, and the build that stopped every note.</p> <p>Write on this page</p> <p>A release is a numbered version of the site, made by the build every time a change passes to checks. A note is a structure of work by one agent.</p> <p>Where this came from: commit 1e6427 (18:39:16), its subject and its note to dev, quoted as written: the claims C014 and C009. The pages that show keys are left out on purpose.</p>	<p>RC2 sample, the first ten pages, 31 October 2026</p> <p>Meet the team</p> <p>Each agent had one job, written down, like a contract.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The conductor kept the team and the plan. It created the work, held the schedule, and was the one who stopped everything at the end.• The storyteller gave the talk its order and drew its maps.• The researcher checked every fact the talk wanted to use, against its source.• Dev built the site, the player and the checks every change had to pass.• The designer gave it a look.• Medium made the animations that open each part.• Stallion made several versions of a slide and let the best one win.• ren-sight made the explained animations, and ran things against open services. <p>Nine agents (C011). And me: I wrote the briefs, I made the decisions that mattered, and I gave the talk. Nobody else in this book speaks for me.</p>  <p>Meet the team: the nine agents around the person they worked for</p> <p>Where this came from: each member's role file in the talk's repository at 28846e, the claim C011, the connection M03. "Meet the team".</p>	<p>RC2 sample, the first ten pages, 31 October 2026</p> <p>How a day runs</p> <p>The team worked in turns, on a clock, and through the night. Some turns started on their own schedule, some started because I asked for something.</p> <p>In the night, before six in the morning, the agents made 182 commits (C092, C093). Over the whole day there were 162 wakes: 185 on the schedule, 47 started by me, and 18 follow-ups (C087). From five in the morning to two in the afternoon, I started some of them, every wake ran on its own schedule (C067).</p> <p>Every turn went the same way, in five steps. Plan the work. Have the plan checked. Do the work. Have the work checked. Check it went to plan. A turn that skipped a step could not close.</p> <p>The five steps of a wake</p> <p>Plan, review the plan, review the work, check against the plan. The reviews are done by other agents, and each one writes to world of done.</p> <p>Where this came from: the claims C073, C087 and C092, the team's wake protocol (team-conductor-agent-nd in the talk's repository).</p>
<p>RC2 sample, the first ten pages, 31 October 2026</p> <p>The rule that let them go fast</p> <p>Anyone on the team could stop everything. Only I could start it again.</p> <p>At 18:44, sixteen minutes before the talk, the conductor did, at my word: it wrote a short file called a build, and every wake after it stopped (C006, C024). It was the only build of the day, and nothing went wrong after it, because nothing more happened.</p> <p>That is the talk's argument in one rule. Besides are what let you go faster. A team you can stop with one file is a team you can let run through the night.</p> <p>Write on this page</p> <p>A wake opens work. It's easy to pull and nobody can take it off it. If you do, the team who may stop the work, and who may restart it, before you need it.</p> <p>Where this came from: the build, committed at 18:44:12 (the claims C006 and C024), the two accounts of why (C089), the second given on 31 October.</p> <p>¹ Two accounts, both kept. On the day the build grew on single build, at 93%, as the reason. Two days later I used the 93% just as a footnote. The real reason was that there was no better way to take in changes during the talk (C089).</p>	<p>RC2 sample, the first ten pages, 31 October 2026</p> <p>What did not work</p> <p>It was not magic, and it was not finished. The talk needed more rounds than one day gave it.</p> <p>Some of the talk's own claims did not hold. The team kept a register of every claim the talk made: 320 in all, 52 were wrong checked. 210 held whole. I did not hold, and none of those three reached the stage (C026, C030). But one figure the register had already found out of date did reach a slide (C031).</p> <p>That is in the book on purpose. The checks worked, and they also missed. Seeing where they missed is part of the method, not a first note to it.</p> <p>The number, the talk's own register</p> <p>182 claims, 12 not checked, 210 held whole. I did not hold, none on stage, one out of date figure did reach a slide. (C026, C030, C031)</p> <p>Where this came from: the claims C026, C030 and C031 in the book's register.</p>	<p>RC2 sample, the first ten pages, 31 October 2026</p> <p>Why this matters to you</p> <p>You do not need to write code to work like this. You need a clear brief, rules in plain words, a loop, and the habit of stopping something somebody else can run.</p> <p>That is what I told a room in Paris on 31 October, at the Vlabaton Alperve, a sold-out day of building with AI (C091). "build something that somebody else can run, and then you reuse and repeat. That's it. That's literally the loop."</p> <p>The team in this book ran that loop again and again, all day, with agents doing most of the building. My job was the brief, the decisions, and the brakes.</p> <p>Where this came from: the answerer's article "Vlabaton Alperve 2026" (interview-sgit.at, 31 October 2026), which quotes my words to the room, the claim C091.</p>	<p>RC2 sample, the first ten pages, 31 October 2026</p> <p>Start tomorrow</p> <p>Five steps.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. An account and an empty repository. A public repository is public forever, so nothing private goes in it: no password, no key, no personal detail. And give the agent only the access it needs.2. One agent first, the conductor, with one page of rules in your own words, what it is for, what it may change, and when it must stop and ask you.3. One brief, what you want made, for whom, and by when.4. Ship something end to end on the first day, however small, that somebody else can run.5. Add a second agent only when the first is busy. <p>Try this</p> <p>Write one page of rules for your first agent/agent. These headings are enough, what's to be what's to be, and when it's to be.</p> <p>Where this came from: the order the team itself was set up in on 29 October, from the talk's repository: the safety line in the lesson of the team's own first night.</p>	<p>RC2 sample, the first ten pages, 31 October 2026</p> <p>Where this book goes next</p> <p>The rest of the book walks the same path, in detail. The line of what the team made. One agent, and how it was set up. A team that grows. The planning that keeps it run. The talk, and how a team like this was contained. And then your turn.</p> <p>Every number in it comes from one register, each with its id, and each can be checked against the repository. You do not have to trust the book. You can check it.</p>  <p>Scan to open the book's site</p> <p>Where this came from: the book's plan as it stands on 31 October 2026 (its structure is still open), the register of claims in the book's repository. The talk's repository is pinned at commit 28846e; this sample was written on 31 October 2026.</p>

14:36	researcher	A number sheet for the ten pages; the Vibathon as context (C091)
15:05	designer	LAB 18: the ten pages' look, and a cover sketch beside Gen ZX Founders
17:00	ruv.sgit	LAB 19: one registered timeline of the day in four modes (your A12)
17:02	designer	LAB 21: a mandate you can see, for chapter 3
17:42	storyteller	The ten pages outlined: the tour, the cast, the rule, start tomorrow
18:26 to 18:41	security, researcher, storyteller	The outline read and traced before writing; amended with their points
18:54	publisher	The ten pages written in your voice and built (12 pages)
19:00	ruv.sgit	LAB 20: chapter 8's locks that never closed, the evening to scale


Where it stands at 19:00

- Your answers just now are recorded: G1 signed (the series on the title page, the cover, and an early page on its philosophy); the 95% as your account (token usage); RiskMandate credited; the book in your voice
- Next: security's and the researcher's read of the sample, synthetic's readers, then the series dress, and the sample comes back to you cleared

Pictures




LAB 19 (ruv.sgit): the day's timeline, one of four modes



LAB 20 (ruv.sgit): the locks that never closed

LAB 12 (ruv.sgit): chapter 4's wake as one strip



LAB 15 (ruv.sgit): the team's pace on paper

LAB 21 (designer): a mandate you can see

LAB 18 (designer): the cover sketch, now with

Where this came from

git log origin/dev, 31 October 07:00 to 19:00 UTC (merges left out; releases are the CI's site v commits) · team/conductor/tools/day_heatmap.py
· manuscript/sample/ at f179660 · briefs 16 to 22